

ICOMOS International Scientific Committee on 20th Century Heritage



ISC20C Annual Meeting

Tuesday, 1 October 2013

2:00 PM

Chitkara University
Corporate Office Sector 9, Conference Room
Chandigarh, INDIA

ISC20C Annual Meeting, Tuesday, October 1, 2013

Chitkara University
Corporate Office Sector 9, Conference Room
Chandigarh, INDIA

Schedule

9.00 – 12.00

ICOMOS ISC20C Capital Tour of Chandigarh

Location: Shuttle pick-up of tour attendees from the Shivalik Hotel and Taj Hotel starting at 7:45 a.m.

12.00 – 13.00

Lunch

Location: Chitkara University, Corporate Office Sector 9, Conference Room

14:00 - 17:00

ISC20C Annual Meeting 2013

Location: Chitkara University, Corporate Office Sector 9, Conference Room

Meeting Agenda

All items marked to be subject of pre-circulated report*

14.00

1.) Welcome and Apologies (SB)

2.) Present, Introductions, Guests (All)

3.) Approval of agenda

4.) Meeting Minutes, November 2012, Helsinki, Finland (KN, SB) *

- Matters Arising

5.) ISC20C President's Report (SB)

- Updates post Helsinki
- Regional Meeting Dubrovnik (SB)

6.) Secretary General Report (KN)

- WMF Desktop Reviews and honorariums (KN)
- Amendment, ISC20C Statutes, Section 4.3 Bureau Results (KN)
- Membership Report - New Members 2013*(KN/ RS)
- Institutional Membership (KN, SB)
- Website and Communications (KN)
- Annual Report 2012

7.) Treasurers Report (LR) *

8.) Partner Organization Statements

- UIA (LC)
- Docomomo (AT)
- TICCIH (ST)
- Chandigarh Conference Organisers (KJ/RJ)

15.00

9.) Triennial Work Program Reports

9.1 World Heritage (SB/SM)

- Meeting Minutes, World Heritage Nomination Workshop 2012, Helsinki, Finland (SM, ND) *
- Historic Thematic Framework Study (SM)
- Missions (SB)

9.2 Madrid Document (FE, SB, KN) *

- MD2 updating (FEM/SM)
- Digital Upload (FEM/KN)

9.3 Heritage Alerts (GH) *

9.4 Heritage Applause (SB, SP)

9.5 Heritage Toolkit Update (SB, SP)

9.6 MAP Project (DB SU SB) *

9.7 Heritage at Risk Report progress (JH)

9.8 Socialist Realism Project & Initiative, Support Letters (JH, VP, NC)

9.9 WMF Desktop Reviews (KN)

10.) Work Plan for 2012-2015 Triennium (LR) *

11.) Next ISC20C Meeting [in possible collaboration with Cultural Landscapes and CIVVH] - November 2014, Florence, Italy

12.) Other matters

13.) Members reports and discussion

14.) Vote of Thanks to Meeting organisers

17.00 Close

Draft Meeting Minutes
August 2012
Helsinki, Finland

**ICOMOS TWENTIETH CENTURY HERITAGE
INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE MEETING
MONDAY 6 AUGUST 2012, 8:30 AM – 1 PM**

*The City Planning Department of the City of Helsinki
Kansakoulukatu 3, 00100 Helsinki, Finland*

DRAFT MINUTES

1.0 WELCOME, PRESENT, INTRODUCTIONS, GUESTS

1.1 The President, Sheridan Burke (Australia), welcomed attendees to the meeting. Each bureau and committee member present introduced themselves including representatives and guests from partner organizations.

1.2 **ICOMOS ISC20C Bureau** included: President: Sheridan Burke (Australia); Vice-Presidents: Natalia Dushkina (Russia); Susan Macdonald (USA/ Australia); Riitta Salastie (Finland); Yamana Yoshiyuki (Japan); Treasurer: Laura Robinson (South Africa); Secretary General: Kyle Normandin (USA).

Members included: Josef Braeken (Belgium); Louise Cox (Australia); Marianna Heikinheimo (Finland); Vaidas Petrulis (Lithuania); Robert Mayr (Austria); Robert Moore (Australia); Jack Pyburn (USA); Leo Schmidt (Germany); Vladimir Slapeta (Czech Republic); Stuart Tappin (UK); Britt Wisth (Sweden); Anke Zalivako (Germany).

Partner organisation representatives: Past President: Louise Cox (UIA); President: Ana Tostoes (Docomomo International);

Apologies Received: Enrique Xavier de Anda Alanis (Mexico); Dinu Bumbaru (Canada); Nune Chanlingayan (Armenia); Gunny Harboe (USA); Jorg Haspel (Germany); Carlos Mesen (Costa Rica); Fernando Espinosa de los Monteros (Spain); Eduardo Luis Rodriguez (Cuba).

Guest: Ana Paula Arato Goncalves (Brazil)

2.0 MEETING MINUTES, NOVEMBER 2012, PARIS, FRANCE

2.1 Minutes were confirmed by all

The Paris November 2011 meeting minutes have been circulated and floor was opened for comments and suggestions. No suggestions. Moved accepted SB seconded LC. Minute Meetings were accepted.

2.2 Matters Arising

Item 2.2.1 - Space Heritage Initiative: Laura Robinson (LR) will remain as the point person for the Space Heritage Initiative together with Leo Schmidt. Refer Agenda 8.7

Items 2.2.2 – ICOM Partnerships: SB indicated NFA from ICOMOS re mutual representation on committees. SU and SM indicated interest in modern art committee. Refer Item 7.0

Item 2.2.3 – Committee member reports: KN reported that template has been circulated which was used for annual reports to better develop the reporting process.

Template reports have been circulated. Thanks to those who responded, task is completed. SB asked to be sure that these reports will be available on ISC20C website for those who can't attend meetings. *Action KN.*

Item 2.2.4 – Membership lists: KN and RS reported that committee lists will be uploaded to the website as PDFs and that email addresses will not be included. *Action Required: KN to post membership lists to the website.*

Item 2.2.5 – Gilles Noussier database: KN reported that details of each member of the committee should be uploaded to the ICOMOS database personally. Each member is now responsible for uploading directly onto the website their own membership data including uploading of CV information areas of expertise so that it can be easily searchable by ICOMOS. Discussion noted that the system time consuming and complicated to navigate.

Action Required: Each ISC20C committee to update their membership data onto the ICOMOS Expert database.

Item 2.2.6 – Heritage Alerts – Refer agenda item 3.1

Item 2.2.7 – MAP20 project – Refer agenda item 5.1.2

Item 2.2.8 – 20th Century Thematic Framework - Refer agenda item 8.2

Item 2.2.9 – Heritage Toolkit – Refer agenda item 3.2

2.3 ISC20C Serial Sites Workshop 2012, Paris, France

ND presented a summary of the meeting minutes which were circulated to participants and an overview of the serial site discussion currently underway throughout ICOMOS. AT noted that DOCOMOMO could assist ISC20C in the organization of a method for a clear solution to the challenges of the discussion on the serial site nomination. SB welcomed on-going UIA and DoCoMo Mo collaboration. This is a major issue for longer discussion at item 8.6.

2.4 Serial Sites Symposium February 2012, Tokyo, Japan

YY reported that after the organization of the meeting in Tokyo in February 2012, a draft publication of the meeting was created, which he then distributed. There have been on-going discussions regarding the Le Corbusier serial nomination and more challenges with other serial nominations may follow eg Frank Lloyd Wright and Alvar Aalto. YY expressed hope that ISC20C would be able to assist in finding a solution collaboratively and indicated that he would like to continue to participate in the sub-committee to further develop a solution to serial site nominations generally. SB added that this is one of the first times that information on this topic has been published thanks to YY and the Japanese Government. Some follow up is needed with several speakers to finalise text and permit full publication. *(Action YY/SB)*

SM indicated that there is information on serial site nominations that have been successful (e.g. the Australian Convict Sites). SM noted that the issue maybe to focus on a link between the LC serial nomination and the heritage of the 20th century. As a first step, the sub-committee might scan of all the serial nominations that already exist and carry out research to see how similar issues were specifically addressed in the past. The current LC serial nominations (and others) are trans boundary and we should start to think about how a database maybe successfully utilized in these nominations

including any crossover in the identification of issues. A suggestion was made that a national committee like US/ ICOMOS could arrange for an intern to further research on this topic.

SB inquired if anyone would like to volunteer to be part of this sub-committee. SM, YY, SB and ND indicated interest.

Action Required: SB to circulate further inquiry to the ISC20C members for interest in a subcommittee to work on 20th Century serial nominations.

SB to chase up outstanding authors to correct their verbatim texts to YY.

KN to coordinate with YY to upload post meeting report from February 18, 2012 once finalised along with the working papers from the Tokyo meeting on Twentieth Century World Heritage Listings to the ISC20C website.

3.0 Presidents Report:

SB spoke to a written report pre-circulated to all attendees, highlighting two issues.

3.1 Heritage Alerts program which has been extremely time consuming, and we currently have four underway. We have a small *subcommittee* working on these efforts which consists of BW, GH, LR, KN and SB. When a Heritage Alert requests comes in, the information is circulated to the subcommittee and depending on each person's availability at the time, responses can be difficult. The Heritage Alert template was developed as a way to respond to the call for the Alert and to collect available information for the subcommittee to assess the situation and move forward. The template has been accepted by ICOMOS for use by national committees and other ISCs and was used for the GA resolutions.

Kamakura Art Museum: SB reported that there is a Heritage Alert underway for the Kamakura Art Museum. She has attended two meetings with the museum and the shrine with YY. The situation is well understood by ICOMOS Japan as well. While we are still in the process of collecting the information on the template, we have been able to move forward with series of visits meetings, letters and negotiations positively, with the support of ICOMOS Japan. ICOMOS WH Secretariat is aware of the implications for the nomination of the shrine for World Heritage Listing.

ACTION YY to complete the HA template. YY/SB keep in contact with ICOMOS Japan

Hong Kong SAR China Government West Wing Building: SB circulated documentation and photographs about the Heritage Alert including a description of the Central Government Offices complex and its setting, its history and the background to the risk of demolition now facing the West Wing, the third and final stage of the Government office complex.. SB noted that HA dossier template was completed by local advocacy groups and verified by the ISC20C HA Subcommittee, thanks to GH LR and BW. The HA involved a letter from SB to the HK Chief Executive and a press release.

There has been a large amount of public attention and press inquiry to this alert. The UIA and DoCoMo Mo supported the action and co-signed the letters. The SAR government of Hong Kong has replied with a strong response and SB indicated that the ISC20C is developing a response for the new government for further negotiation. SB reported that the Heritage Alert had the exact right impact as it had showed that international, well-articulated perspectives on the conservation issues can provide

valuable input into the consideration of a nationally important building complex. SB indicated that despite many approaches China ICOMOS had not commented, and that ISC20C had proceeded only following discussion with President Gustavo Araoz and Advisory Council President John Hurd. She thanked Louise Cox (Albert Dubler) UIA and Ana Tostoes for their timely support .

Action: SB indicated that the response letter to the government of Hong Kong is will be developed in consideration of the political situation and it will also be co-signed with DoCoMo Mo and UIA.

Prentice Hospital in Chicago, USA: SB indicated that there is a Heritage Alert under consideration for Prentice Hospital in Chicago. GH will advise when action is timely.
Action: GH to prepare the Heritage Alert template of information.

Kyoto Kaikan, Japan: YY provided a report on the Kyoto Kaikan including the building history and background to the risk it faces due to redevelopment for a different performance programme. The building was designed by Kunio Maekawa and is a masterpiece. Currently, the City of Kyoto has announced as the winner of a design competition a solution which will demolish half of the theatre, partially enclose the courtyard and create a new skyline. YY indicated that this has reached a critical stage as the tender documents have been issued regarding companies for demolition. ND indicated that information regarding the links between LC and Maekawa should be made clear and included as part of the discussion for heritage listing. SB indicated that we do not yet have agreement from ICOMOS Japan to proceed forward with the Heritage Alert. SB indicated that draft letters had been developed, and we will wait for JI advice. Once again the documentation will be co-signed with UIA (DoCoMo Mo having already written)

Action: SB asked YY to finalise the text for the Heritage Alert ASAP SB will coordinate letters with Japan ICOMOS and GH.

Melnikov House: ND has requested that letters of support are needed for on-going support of the Melnikov house. Issues of new development next to the site have raised new concerns on the soil hydrology of the site. ST indicated that he may be able to assist in helping to draft a letter as he completed an engineering report back in 2005 and could comment on the structural issues.

Action: SB requested that ST and ND start a draft of the letter which could be circulated to ICOMOS, DoCoMo Mo and UIA for support. ND will commence with ST.

NOTE: SB indicated that it is important to note that all of the Heritage Alerts take a large amount of time to carry out and so, the subcommittee must be selective about how many of these are taken on. SB noted that the HA templates are essential basis for taking action, and that the HA subcommittee needs significant lead time to get the facts assembled and the political approach resolved. The time involved for the President is especially significant in terms of checking NC liaison, media calls etc.

3.2 Heritage Toolkit: SB provided a brief overview of the purpose of the Toolkit which is to gather links and PDFs of relevant guidelines and case studies that are useful to our daily practice and work for the conservation and management of twentieth century heritage places and upload them to the website. These documents are being made available through web links and also through downloadable PDF documents (eg. the MD, the Burra Charter). SB indicated that this is an initiative that needs assistance to

moving it forward given LR's Busy role as treasurer general. SB explained the next steps to carry out this project in co-ordination with the ICOMOS documentation centre.

ACTION: LR/SB urgently requested volunteers to organize and manage the toolkit project to move it forward for ICOMOS. Perfect task for a University based member. Modest time input. SB will follow up with Lucille Smirnoff the ICOMOS Documentalist

4.0 PROPOSED AMENDMENT, ISC20C STATUTES

SM presented the report, which sought to implement the decision of the Committee in Paris to make minor amendments to the ISC20 Statutes to reflect the need to expand the Bureau to achieve wider regional representation and achieve the aspirations of the work plan.

The proposed amendment as circulated on 20th July 2012 to voting members, was developed in consultation with Gideon Koren (ICOMOS ICLAFI) and referred to the ISC President and Secretary General. A sentence was however missing from the proposal circulated that removed the need to vote for the officers of the committee. This was an editing problem NOT THE INTENT OF THE PROPOSED AMMENDMENT.

The email of 20 July 2012 stated that unless comments were received this statute change would be approved. As there was a mistake in the proposed statute change circulated, this requires this exercise to be redone. It was noted that these amendments would come into affect in 2014 following the next general assembly.

SM reiterated the report which stated that the few comments on the statute amendment proposal. These comments related to the following:

- 1.) time spent towards the interim management committee
- 2.) term limits and
- 3.) about how we should we should make public the actual number of votes to reflect the voting results.

SM acknowledged these useful comments however, items 1 & 3 had been previously discussed and agreed by the committee and were not proposed as part of this statute amendment proposal as discussed by the Committee in Paris. Item 3 is unrelated to the proposed statute amendment. The idea of making public of the election results was discussed.

Action: The SG to organize a vote on the amendment by electronic ballot on the proposed statute amendment.

On the matter of co-opting members in the interim period (until 2014) the voting members agreed to the Bureau's co-option of seven interim bureau representatives. The proposed and approved representatives for co-option are as follows: Fernando Espinosa de los Monteros (Spain); Gunny Harboe (USA); Susan Macdonald (Australia/ USA); Yoshiyuki Yamana (Japan); Nune Chanlingayan (Armenia); and Riitta Salastie (Finland).

5.0 SECRETARY GENERAL'S REPORT:

Paris Nov 2011 meeting minutes have been distributed and circulated. No comments were received meeting minutes accepted.

5.1 Website/ Social Media:

KN indicated that new Heritage Alert information has recently been posted to the website related to the Hong Kong West Wing heritage site.

Action: The SG shall upload the next Heritage Alerts for the Kyoto Kaikan and also for the Prentice Hospital when they are completed and approved.

Action: The SG shall also upload the new translated versions of the MD with the ISC20C foreword and local Introduction letters as they become available.

5.2 MAP20 Program: KN indicated space remains available for uploading of the MAP20 program. Remaining questions on authors consent and the possible use of images for uploading to the website needs clarification from DB and SU.

ACTION: DB and SU are to provide recommendations on obtaining author consents and uploading the MAP20 digital files and photos to the website copyright fee,

5.3. Facebook: KN noted that CMF has been assisting with maintaining of the ISC20C Facebook page including posting articles, links to sites and alerts. Statistics reported that a majority of the users are between the ages of 30 and 45. KN has included photos from the Paris meeting and it was reported that registered users of the page has increased to approximately 160 from 92 since the Paris meeting in November 2011. Facebook links have been established to the main ICOMOS pages and it is anticipated that an increase of ISC20C registered users may results through additional web links to partner organizations. It was noted that the aim of the Facebook network is to grow the Associate membership and raise awareness with practitioners who may not be members to provide background on the ISC20C program activities which are linked to the main website. All committee members are encouraged to provide to KN information, web links and local events for addition to the webpage.

Action: Ongoing ALL.

5.4 Membership

KN reported that ISC20C membership as of August 2012 has a total of 79 members. Additional members may be finalized upon the next Scientific Council meeting in Beijing, China at the end of October. The membership summary currently for each membership category is as follows:

Voting Members: 37

Expert Members: 26

Associate Members: 16

6.0 TREASURER'S REPORT:

Laura Robinson (LR) reported that there has been no income over the last year. The committee did generate some income from individual member work related to review of the nomination forms as part of the WMF Watch List. LR reported that the ISC20C currently has an account balance of \$1,348 Euros. The account is an interest generating account managed for us by the ICOMOS Secretariat. SM noted that the

next review for WMF will take place in 2013 and so perhaps there will be an opportunity to raise more income from dossier reviews.

Action: Ongoing LR

7.0 Partner Organization Reports:

7.1 UIA (LC): Louise Cox advised that it is as yet unclear who will be nominated as the UIA representative to ISC20C. In the meantime; she reported that there is support currently for the 2014 UIA conference in Durban, South Africa. LC indicated that there has been considerable support by the UIA of the Heritage Alert program including the Hong Kong West Wing and Prentice Hospital alerts.

Action Required: LC to liaise with Albert Dubler as to who will represent UIA from ISC20C.

7.2 DOCOMOMO (AT): Ana Tostoes reported that it is important to make synergies to safeguard 20th Century and Modern Movement architecture and sites. One of the greatest joint challenges at the moment is the WH serial site nomination and the UIA website database project from DoCoMo Mo. AT indicated that the next step is to make the database information available through the Docomomo website.

Action Required: AT to liaise with ISC20C.

7.3 TICCIH (ST): report presented by Stuart Tappin. ST reported that the primary aim of work is on industrial heritage in London. The annual congress is in Taiwan in November.

Action Required: ST will forward web links to post to the ISC20C website.

7.4 mAAN: SB reported that she attended mAAN's conference in 2011 in Korea focused on sustainability. The organization model of no office bearers has been highly successful including posting a majority of their activities through their website portal. SB indicated that mAAN has remained in regular contact with the ISC20C.

Action Required: SB to liaise with mAAN for ISC20

8.0 ISC20C Project Reports

8.1 Madrid Document (SM):

SM reviewed the discussion which took place in Paris which had agreed to collect comments from ICOMOS members through to August 2012 and a report was requested advising on their content and any changes. As a follow-up to the Paris meeting, there was an invitation to all ISCs and NCs by SB for comments on the Madrid Document. About a dozen sets of comments were received, not always consistent. There were several minor changes that could be readily made as a second edition; other issues were more substantial and related to the original plan of ISC20C of providing guidelines for care of 20th Century heritage more generally. Unfortunately we do not have a report that details the comments received.

A report by FEM had been circulated to all members prior to the meeting, which recommended review of the comments collected by colleagues, that the committee should decide if it would like to accept the Madrid Document (MD) as it is or to consider proceeding forward to present it as a doctrinal text to the

ICOMOS Secretariat. If the MD is left as is, the committee should decide whether to keep it as architecture-specific text or to amend it to include the extensive heritage of the 20th Century including landscape and urban design. If the text is left as is, it is clear that we are to recommend that the translation of the MD be in as many languages as possible. FEM indicated that he will continue to provide his support on this project based on the recommendation the committee and the approach is ultimately decided upon.

SM summarized that there are three options ahead now, which were also discussed in Paris in 2011.

- 1.) Option 1: Madrid Document: The ISC20C should leave the Madrid Document as is which reflects the outcome of the Madrid meeting. It would not become an ICOMOS doctrinal text and it would be left as is to address architecture only. To date, Edition 1 has been translated into six languages. We need to standardize the publication presentation format.
- 2.) Option 2: Minor changes/tweaks can be made as a result of comments received and we can as produce Edition2, with the standard ISC20C foreword. SB suggested adding a local intro para (By an ISC20C national member)
- 3.) Option 3: ICOMOS doctrine (amend to broaden scope as originally proposed): The ISC20C could expand the Madrid Document to provide guidelines for Twentieth Century for heritage places generally. It could be decided about becoming ICOMOS doctrine later.

As part of the discussion, each committee member was asked to give their opinion on which option to choose.

- Marieke Kuipers: Its being used in Netherlands already There are two ways to address this question. We should look again at the comments – for example, how do we deal with the comments – a second edition or a new document? We already have a basic document that was approved – we should use this now then see what can the comments bring us to expand and reinforce what we have already to be wider later Marieke Kuipers – I would like to promote this to those in conservation who are in charge of protecting heritage in a broader sense. It should be used as guidelines for intervention and development of architectural heritage. I would urge against development of doctrinal text
- Riitta Salastie: I agree with Marieke – keeping it as it is for now, making a few tweaks. Good basis. It is very important that we can use this document. I do support a broader document but with not too much change to the basic document. I translate the MD into Finnish with Maija Kaijamo who is a founding member of the committee.
- Louise Cox: I believe we should leave the Madrid Document as is however, I think that we should have a second guideline document that also includes settings beyond architecture eg landscape, town plans.
- Vladimir Slapeta: I am against doctrinal text. I am afraid of it per se.

- Robert Moore: I see this as a way to disseminate good guidelines for architects and planners and decision makers. Use it as it is, maybe add minor tweaks, but don't lose sight of the need for a broader document for the future.
- Leo Schmidt – I believe that we should make minor changes to the Madrid Document (edition 2) and I think only make minor changes are also needed to make it a broader text.
- Petrus Vaidas: I believe we should move the Madrid Document towards becoming an ICOMOS doctrinal text – however, we need to reassess the goals of the document. I need it as a tool for city officials – it is a goal.
- Marianna – I am not sure it should remain as it is – we may want to consider broadening the text -but then it would be another text. We should discuss - what is the strategic significance if we leave it as such? We should take care that it is noted by local and national committees.
- Jo Braeken: I think we keep it as Madrid document – we could perhaps make small changes as a second version but not change the spirit of the document. What is the importance of making it doctrinal text? The comments are quite varied and many comments seek to broaden the text-that's a different job.
- Anke Zalivako – The document is finished – it was a positive process and should stay as it is. Use it now and see how it works. Collect comments and keep them in mind – and revisit them in time. Doctrinal text may sound too bureaucratic.
- Norbert Mayr – keep the document as it is. Big Comments should go towards a second document in time.
- Natalia Duskina — the Madrid Document has already reached a milestone in the protection of 20th Century heritage, minor wording changes are OK. What to do with the bigger comments? Should add more transparency to the process and collect the comments and perhaps post them to the website then decide what to do? Let's think about this for longer what should we do to promote the document? I will promote through the Russian Architectural academy. We should all do this at home.
- Britt Wisth – Question of broadening the document was discussed from the beginning of forming this text – I am for keeping it as it is, small tweaks are OK. I am against making it doctrinal text. I think that putting the comments received on the website is.
- Stuart Tappin – I think it is a good toolkit for people to use in the protection of 20th C heritage. I am reasonably happy with the document and it should be kept as it is. Minor adjustment for each language translation may be required and small tweaks could be second version.

- Jack Pyburn – I find it very useful from a pedagogical and dissemination standpoint – this could be a foundation for a bigger project that may have a broader scope.
- Susan Macdonald – I think that the document has been extraordinary successful as a platform for dissemination and discussion. I think this document represents the feeling of the meeting in Madrid meeting very well. I think we need to really decide what to do with the minor comments - maybe put them up on the website or just do a second edition to catch up the minor tweaks. Alternatively may want to make it broader, as originally thought. I am less interested in a doctrinal text. I like the idea of having it being a set of guidelines and be able to see how it in the context of conservation more broadly is a good idea.
- Ana Paul Goncalves – Keep the document as it is and then perhaps later think more about the idea of having a larger/broader document.

Yoshiyuki Yamana: Keep it as is – I use the document as it is with Kyoto city. Currently, there are no other guidelines for 20th Century architecture available in Japan. There are already websites in Japan linked to this website and it shows some spreading of Western principles for presentation of 20th Century heritage. For living heritage, the context is different we need to examine new programs for this active functional architecture – but, I didn't think we needed another document for this. Perhaps, in 3/5 years, we will need another document. I translated the document into Japanese and have used it already in two DoCoMo Mo workshops.

Laura Robinson: I think we should leave the document as it is. We should have comments on a website. In South Africa, we really need a much broader based document. We would like to see landscape covered and town planning – issues that are particular relevant to us. We have an opportunity to create a much richer text for another document as a second phase to this. We should really think very carefully about whether we want the Madrid Document to become doctrinal text which will take years.

Kyle Normandin: I think we should leave the document as it is- as the outcome of a conference. The document has been translated into a number of languages and it is already being used currently as a useful as a set of guidelines by professionals. We can collect more comments, but let's not make it doctrine

Sheridan Burke: I use the MD in my own practice it's been very successful. I am going to give a presentation at the pechakucha session on a case study of applying the MD to writing architectural guidelines for owners to deal with interventions. I'd leave it as it is so that it serves as architectural guidelines but I think we should regularize it in terms of the way it is being published so it can be accurately cited, includes the standard ISC20C foreword, ISBN and a local (language) introduction etc. I'd be happy to see us do the minor tweaks for a second edition quickly. I'm not in favor of having it go to become an ICOMOS doctrinal

text unless the committee is willing to commit time and energy to this process. I'd like to see a broader ISC20C Guidelines document eventuate at some time soon, because I feel that landscape and town planning contributions of C20 are too often overlooked in redevelopment projects and are seriously threatened.

Susan Macdonald: In summary then, we seem to agree that the Madrid Document should be left as it is – leave it as it is as a moment in time document-as edition 1, minor edits can be done to issue as Edition 2, but it will not become ICOMOS doctrine. I recommend we (FEM/SM/JH/KN) upload the comments to the website.

The next step would be to move forward with a subcommittee that creates/adapts the MD3 text to the 20th Century heritage more generally, scoping a broader C20 guideline text.

We should also regularize the publication of the document. Because the Madrid Document resides on the website, KN should look at the ISBN and coordinate to make sure that the right ISC20C foreword is published and included as part of the document on the website and in various translations. We need to check ICOMOS format/requirements for publications too.

Summary Results/ Actions Required:

1. *The ISC20C will not proceed through the process set out for the MD to become ICOMOS doctrine. The ISC20C agreed that there is too much bureaucracy, would absorb too much of our resources and energy.*
2. *The MD should be left as a document in time, referring to architecture with some minor tweaks to improve expression/language and including an ISC20C updated foreword as edition 2.*
3. *A second edition (MD2) should be prepared ASAP. Action: MD subcommittee KN JH FEM SB SM to identify/agree on the minor revisions, possibly invite local intros.*
4. *SB to revise ISC20C foreword following Helsinki discussions, so that it is standard for ALL translations of MD1 ASAP and a refreshed foreword for MD2.*
5. *Continue original ISC20C project to develop broader guidelines for C20heriatge (landscape, planned areas etc.) using the MD2 as the start. A call for that output should be referred to by a different name XD3. Subcommittee to proceed by scoping this document for next meeting, developing a proposal and process. Consider issue of uploading comments to date. This may simply be an addendum to MD2, or it might be a third edition, or a totally new document XD3. Action: SM, LR, BW, JH, FEM, SB*
6. *Develop an ISC20C publications policy to always ensure that any ISC20C document is published with an ISC20C foreword and copyright and contact information. Action: SB/KN*

7. *Regularise publication/dissemination process of ISC20C, quickly resolving any arising confusions re MD copyright/ISBN/contact info etc. of MD1 and MD2. Action: KN, FEM*
8. *Upload all the translations of MD1 (Action: Original ISC20C foreword should be translated in each case. Later discussion developed the idea of when doing so; we will invite national ISC20C members to write a short local introduction (similar to FEMs Spanish one) to also upload with the translations. Action: KN, SB*
9. *Encourage translation/ amendments of MD1 to MD2 by approaching all those ISC20C members who have already translated to update and invite addition of short local introduction*
10. *Encourage dissemination of MD2 broadly. Action: All*
11. *Consider doing an illustrated version of MD as MD4? Action: KN FEM SB JYS SM. Note this was a later dinner discussion of the petcha kucha session outcomes.*

8.2 C20 Thematic History Framework (SM)

SM reported that she did not receive any comments back on the Thematic History Framework report circulated in November. SM reported that she did need to have a broader discussion with the World Heritage working group and she has spoken to Kristal Buckley which really highlighted the need for this Framework. SM recommended to go again to the World Heritage committee of ICOMOS to start to inquire about possible funding that could be used for this framework. SM inquired with the committee members to see that any potential financial support that could be obtained should be forwarded to her. SM reported that Enrique Andas indicated that he would like to be part of the subcommittee. If anyone is interested in working on the subcommittee, including ideas for historians that are very well versed in this area of framework, please notify SM.

ND recommended creating a project name would be useful to people beyond ISC20C.

Action Required: SM to follow up with the WH working group and inquire about potential support for moving forward with the historic framework.

Interested committee members to volunteer to work with SM as part of this subcommittee shall respond directly to SM.

New project title?

8.3 MAP20 Project

SB noted that in Paris we agreed to upload this database to website as a snapshot in time along with a good introduction. Also the possibility of a reflection meeting in Montreal to be organised by DB.

Action Required: DB and SU will follow-up on outstanding questions to upload database to the website as is including requirements for copyright and use of images.

8.4 Heritage @ Risk:

JH has provided a list of deadlines in the circulated report but LR indicated that there is no guarantee that the next H@R will be funded but there will be a decision later in the year.

No Action Required: JH ongoing

8.5 Oral Histories:

Vladimir Petralis reported that it may be interesting to work together with DB and SU on the MAP 20 initiative to gain oral histories. VP indicated that they may work together to put together a database that could be uploaded possibly onto Facebook. SB requested that VP come back with a proposal or to start with a survey by email to inquire with the committee to then define how the program may take shape. Perhaps, there is a way to start with just a few oral histories and later link to other databases. ST indicated that there is quite a bit of oral history work completed in the UK.

Action Required: VP shall inquire with SU DB and other committee members about the possibility of forming a proposal to put together a database for future oral histories. This project should be linked to universities and could be setup as a database that could also be utilized by social media sites.

8.6 World Heritage Activities:

SB reported that a number of Twentieth Century heritage properties were listed WHC meeting in St. Petersburg. LR reported that it was a very difficult meeting. The World Heritage Committee is very politically driven and appears to be getting more challenging toward ICOMOS. It was reported that there was a lack of transparency and a call for more diverse advisors. SP Members from Estonia, Switzerland, and Germany were quite good and positive in terms of their engagement. SM inquired about the impacts of massive funding cuts to UNESCO and how this must have affected the progress of work. LR reported that there is a 10% funding cut in the funding LR indicated that ICOMOS simply cannot do the work that is required and it does not look positive for the next couple of years.

SB spoke about the on-going discussions with the WH Secretariat about the Le Corbusier dossier and the need for further advice on developing a solution. We are fortunate that in Helsinki this week all of the ICOMOS experts who reviewed the dossier will be in a single city. Those individuals and a small task force will meet this afternoon to discuss how to best provide advice on refining the dossier and the nomination. To maintain confidentiality, she noted that no states parties reps from ISC20C will be at that meeting.

Action: A report will be provided to the WH Secretariat thereafter.

SB indicated that ISC20C often does not get ample time to respond and to make decisions and provide advice on ICOMOS WH activities. For example, often late night calls are received asking for immediate response and this is extremely difficult to support. SM noted that we often get only 2-3 week notice to attend an international meeting and this is simply not enough time to make arrangements. In cases where people have the resources to attend meetings, it would be beneficial to have advance notice from the WH Secretariat to make arrangements to attend these meetings. MK comment that often, advance notice does have lots to do with specific missions but perhaps it would be a beneficial to work with more transparency in these matters in particular, when we are speaking about OUV and the number of participating individuals with opinions which are knowledgeable and can provide advice going forward. SB to discuss improvements with Alfredo Conti and Kristal Buckley

Action Required – SB to continue to liaise with WH Secretariat, AC and KB directly

8.7 Space Heritage Initiative:

LR reported on the work of two colleagues in the US on the Space Heritage Initiative. LR showed the Space Heritage Initiative website and reported that Lisa Westwood and Beth O'Leary of the Apollo 11 Preservation Task Force in the US have been working on space flight on earth and lunar landings which also involves work with NASA and the sites. This work has been carried out under the program entitled, Tranquillity Base: An Archaeological Site Representing the First Human Presence on the Moon which references the Apollo 11 Landing Site, July 20, 1969. This team is looking for international protection on the "Tranquillity Site". They have created a transverse Map on the Site including a number of artefacts and objects that have been documented. The team is looking at the historic context and why we should WH list this compared to other similar achievements. LR noted that there is currently no reference to sites on earth only to space. LR indicated that it may be possible to setup a link to the presentation on the ISC20C website if it is of interest to committee members.

LS also discussed examples of space initiatives and obviously linked to this. For example, LS is currently working on a conservation plan for 25 sites where the first rockets were sent to the moon during the war. These sites were developed during Nazi Germany which is now an archaeological site. Through the aid of GIS, a system of sites has been recognized and setup. The history of the sites dates back to a few years in the 1930s and 1940s. While the sites are now largely destroyed it is considered a historical cultural landscape. There are various layers of historical information including the background of a whole time that goes back to the first rocket test sound of Berlin. It is an interesting period in which the same team developed the rocket drive and when German scientists went to USA and Russia and also actually went into space during the cold war. This site is an opportunity to observe where it all started which include rocket sites in the mountains in Germany where 20,000 concentration camp workers were employed working on these rocket sites. LS reviewed the website and how the GIS currently links all of the bunker sites in northern France for V2 rockets including the military and 3,000 rockets which were launched in Antwerp. This project is part of larger discussion going on about how to list these sites because it is typically left to individual states and it is also a politically sensitive issue in German history but it will most likely also involve a serial nomination.

Action Required: LR and LS to combine current initiatives on both projects and coordinate further on how the ISC20C may collaborate. LR and LS may propose a separate task group to work on the Space Heritage Initiative based on the outcome of suggested coordination efforts.

9.0 Work Plan for 2012-2014 Triennium (LR/SB): LR took committee members through the triennial work program that we looked at in Madrid and indicated that there are too few people signed up on the list. A few people are doing all of the tasks. Our successes have been directly related to individual's time availability and enthusiasms, but that now was the time to determine what issues we should carry on with, and what new projects we might engage with. ISC20CH needs to provide outline of our 2011-2014 work programme to SC early September. Ongoing projects would include:

1. Membership/Gilles Nourissier database. We need to expand our ISC20C membership and can use the database to help us with this by promoting our information to ICOMOS members (KN/RS to date)

2. Younger members - there is a need to engage and develop younger practitioners in our work (LR/All)
3. Communications - we will continue to send out regular information via the listserve (KN)
4. Website and social media: (KN)
5. 20thC thematic study - World Heritage: there is a need to provide expert advice to the ICOMOS World Heritage Panel and working group. Also ref to our le Corb input recently.
6. Scientific Council: Input ICOMOS SC related activities that support our activities (SB/SM)
7. ISC annual meetings: assist with support and organisation generally (All)
8. WMF reviews (KN/All)
9. MAP20 project - not sure about continuing with this, we discussed it but thought that it has possibly come to the end of its useful lifespan???
10. Heritage Alerts: we need more involvement by our members (to date GH, LR,SB,BW)
11. Heritage @ Risk: there is a need to keep our ISC in the forefront of this program (JH/KN) but also liaison with ICOMOS EXCOM - LR
12. Partnerships: Continue to engage our partners especially Docomomo, TICCIH, ISCARSAH, UIA (KN/FV/HL/SB/LC/GH)
13. Research: identify and promote good solutions for 20C (All)
14. ISC Toolkit: (SB/EA/LR)
15. Archives: Contribute to the archive of 20C heritage documentation - including oral histories etc. (All)

Members were reminded that it is a condition of membership that all are active in the work of the committee

Action: Please select a task and contact KN SB or LR!

10.0 Next ISC20C Meetings 2012 - 2014:

10.1 ICOMOS Advisory Committee and Scientific Council Meetings in Beijing, China, October 2012

SB to attend and engage new potential members in China for the ISC20C committee. Evening ISc20C lecture event to be organised if possible

10.2 Chandigarh, India Meeting: ISC20C, October, 2013

A formal proposal was presented by the SG on behalf of founding ISC20C member Kiran Joshi from ICOMOS India for an ISC20C meeting and symposium to be held on 6-7 October 2013. The proposal for the meeting includes arrival on Thursday, 5 October into Chandigarh prior to the ISC20C meeting and symposium scheduled the following two days, 6 – 7 October. The proposed ISC20C events will coincide with city

celebrating LC's birthday including Chandigarh's original inauguration on 7 October 1953.

Action: All committee members agreed to accept the invitation to hold the next annual meeting in Chandigarh, India c. October 6-7, 2013 with an aim to continue to work with the local organizing committee to further identify a theme and title for the symposium.

10.3 Additional Potential meetings 2013 - 2013 Montreal Meeting in March/ April 2013 – SB indicated that there is interest in Canada and may host a meeting to take place in Montreal which is actually 10 years from the MAP20 project. SB indicated that Canada has been followed up with by the ISC20C however they have not come back with a formal proposal for this meeting.

Action Required: KN liaise with DB to submit a formal proposal including proposed event dates for circulation to the ISC20C for current consideration in the early part of 2013.

DRAFT



24.09.2013/RiS

ICOMOS ISC on 20th Century Heritage

Expert Voting Members (41 members)

Enrique Madia

ARGENTINA

Sheridan Burke

AUSTRALIA

Norbert Mayr

AUSTRIA

Jo Braeken

BELGIUM

Elša Turkušić

BOSNIA HERZOGOVINA

Stoilova, Ljubinka Khristova

BULGARIA

Luiz Fernando Rhoden

BRAZIL

Zhang Bing

CHINA 2013

Sandra Uskokovic

CROATIA

Carlos Mesen

COSTA RICA

Eduardo Luis Rodriguez

CUBA

Ola Wedebrunn

DENMARK

Vladimir Slapeta

CZECH REPUBLIC



Jacobo Herdoiza
ECUADOR

Riitta Salastie
FINLAND

Christiane Schmuckle-Mollard
FRANCE

Jorg Haspel
GERMANY

Pamela Jerome (Scientific Council and ISCEAH)
GREECE

Kiran Joshi
INDIA

Emmeline Henderson
IRELAND

Yossi Klein
ISRAEL

Yoshiyuki Yamana
JAPAN

Konstantin Anastasov
MACEDONIA

Jevon Vella
MALTA

Enrique Xavier de Anda Alanis
MEXICO

Marieke Kuipers
THE NETHERLANDS

Phillip Keith Hartley
NEW ZEALAND

Nils Anker
NORWAY



Vaidas Petrulis
LITHUANIA

Bogusław Szmygin (Pending)
POLAND

Fernando Sanchez Salvador
PORTUGAL

Dominic Galicia
PHILIPPINES

Natalia Dushkina
RUSSIA

Varuna de Silva
SRI LANKA (2013)

Laura Robinson
SOUTH AFRICA

Fernando Espinosa de los Monteros
SPAIN

Britt Wisth
SWEDEN

Bundit Chulasai
THAILAND

Yıldız Salman
TURKEY (2013)

Stuart Tappin
UNITED KINGDOM

Gunny Harboe
USA



24.09.2013/RiS

**ICOMOS ISC on 20th Century Heritage
Expert Members List (33 members)**

Alfonso Conti
ARGENTINA

Nune Chilingaryan
ARMENIA

David Jones
AUSTRALIA

Helen Lardner
AUSTRALIA

Hugo Segawa
BRAZIL

Peter Iokimov
BULGARIA

Maria Rodrigues dos Santos
BRAZIL

Dinu Bumbaru
CANADA

Zhu Xiaonan
CHINA

Ling Ming
CHINA

Liu Yi
CHINA

Zhang Song
CHINA

Zhang Nan
CHINA



Yiola Kourou
CYPRUS

Marianna Heikinheimo
FINLAND

Bory Christophe
FRANCE

Christine Desmoulin
FRANCE

Pierre Antoine Gautier
FRANCE (2013)

Leo Schmidt -
GERMANY

Norbert Tempel
GERMANY

Thomas Will
GERMANY

Anke Zalivako
GERMANY

Miguel Iijima
MEXICO

Ciro Perichi
MEXICO

Krzysztof Pawlowski
POLAND

Ruben Garcia Miranda
URUGUAY

Jon Buono
USA

Christine French
USA



Robert Hotes
USA

Steve Kelley (Scientific Council and ISCARSAH)
USA

Susan Macdonald
USA

Kyle Normandin
USA

Jack Pyburn
USA



24.09.2013/RiS

ICOMOS ISC20CH

Associate Member List (23.9.2013 23 members)

Amel Chabbi
ARABI EMIRAT

Laura Matarese
AUSTRALIA (2013)

Anna Finger
BRAZIL

Marina Martin Barbosa
BRAZIL

Stephanie Celle
FRANCE

Shihame Isahac Hanna
FRANCE

Priska Schmuckle von Monckwitz
FRANCE

Janis Chatzigogas
GREECE

Pál Lóvei
HUNGARY

Lazar Sumanov
REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA

Smriti Pant
INDIA

Giacinta Jean
ITALY

Rami Farouk Daher
JORDAN



Essaid Bilal
MAROCCO

Teresa Sofia Faria Cunha Ferreira
PORTUGAL

Jose Aguiar
PORTUGAL

Andrew Hall
SOUTH AFRICA

Amable Gracia Enquita
SPAIN (2013)

Clara Villalba Montaner
SPAIN (2013)

Pere Roca Fabregat
SPAIN

Juan Pedro Urruzola Peralta
URUGUAY

Mariella Russi Podesta
URUGUAY

Caroline Engel
USA (2013)

President's Report
By Sheridan Burke
Chandigarh, India

President's report AGM ISC20C August 2013 Chandigarh, India

1. Brief Summary position objectives

This position aims to lead, co-ordinate and represent the activities and objectives of the ISC20C as part of an active bureau team.

It engages with the work of ICOMOS as a whole, and ISC20C partners and seeks to identify issues which lie ahead for the committee. It takes final responsibility for ISC20C actions, and contributes to a shared forum for communication, debate and teaching about Twentieth Century heritage.

2. Accomplishments

The role of the president is fundamental in many of the activities of the committee; it takes approximately 1.5 working days per week presently.

Meetings:

1. The Annual business meeting of ISC20C was held in Helsinki in September 2012 organized by Riitta Salastie. It opened with an evening visit to Alvar Aalto's home and closed with participation in events and tours associated with the Docomomo conference, an excellent opportunity to catch up with many colleagues of partner organizations.

ISC20C continued its tradition of a public *petcha kucha* evening associated with the ISC20C annual meeting. An evening at Laituri featured eight ISC20C members providing short illustrated talks about recent projects. This event enjoyed maximum public attendance and lots of discussion as members shared updates on issues as varied as the implementation of the Madrid Charter in an Australian city office complex to the restoration of the Vipuri Library in Russia.

The minutes of the Helsinki meeting, and reports of each bureau member on their activities over 2012 can be found on ISC20C website at <http://icomos-isc20c.org/sitebuildercontent/sitebuilderfiles/ISC20CHelsinkiMM2012.pdf>

2. In October 2012, ISC20C President organised an evening of lectures in association with the ICOMOS Advisory Committee meeting held in Beijing, with maximum attendance at the Beijing University of Technology. The launch of the Chinese translation of the Madrid Document, prepared by Cheng Yang was also celebrated by the President of ICOMOS China Tong Mingkang, the president of ICOMOS Gustavo Araoz. Participating in the seminar was newly nominated ISC20C member for China Prof Zang Bing.

3. I used the last of my air miles to attend the regional ISC20C meeting in Europe which was organised by ISC20C member Sandra Uskokovic in May 2013 in Dubrovnik. I gave a paper at the associated conference *Our Modern: Appropriating Vulnerable Twentieth Century Heritage*, May 21-24, 2013, organized with the Inter University Centre Dubrovnik, Croatia. A wide range of issues was discussed and a new initiative for conserving the socialist heritage of the post war world was developed at the meeting. ISC20C has invited contributions from European NCs to identify and conserve the socialist heritage of the post-war period through local and national identification, evaluation, promotion and protection of the heritage of the Post-Socialist world in Central and

Eastern Europe. Other important issues discussed included election processes and meeting locations to ensure we maximize possibilities for attendances.

4. Extensive preparations are underway for the next ISC20C meeting will be held in Chandigarh, India, on October 1-2, 2013 as part of the international conference entitled, 'Filling the Gaps: Twentieth Century Heritage in India', organised by Kirin Joshi and Rohit Jigyasu.

5. I have approached the presidents of CIVVIH and the Cultural Landscape committee about the possibility of a joint meeting immediately prior to the Florence GA in November 2014, which would be our annual meeting next year. New member Giaicnta Jean will assist in organizational logistics.

Heritage Alerts In 2012 ISC20C undertook three major Heritage Alert actions in 2012, involving extensive research and negotiations with national governments in China, Russia and Australia. This task is extremely time consuming and we need to consider carefully each request for action to match available resources, as time is usually of the essence. At present the workload is falling on just 4 people, the majority on the president and Gunny Harboe as chair of the Advocacy subcommittee. We aim to enlarge the committee by including the person who nominated the previous Heritage Alert, which would this incorporate Natalia Dushkina and Enrique de And. This will expand the committee to include a French and Spanish speaker.

The conservation of *the West Wing of the Central Government Office in Hong Kong* entailed extensive research and correspondence by the President with resident groups and government bodies and it concluded with the successful heritage listing of all wings of the CGO and adaptive re-use of the West Wing. The heritage alert included a video presentation by president to the Hong Kong Antiquities Board. <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nIS3Wx3-xQI&feature=youtu.be>. *The final outcome of this case will involve ongoing action by ISC20C*

Also in 2012, despite extensive negotiating efforts, visits and meetings by ISC20C representatives, Japan suffered the loss of an important cultural complex, **the Kyoto Kaikan**, one of Kunio Maekawa's best buildings. A Heritage Alert watching brief continues for the **Kamakura Museum of Modern Art**, Japan, following visits and correspondence through 2012. The Paimio sanatorium, Finland is also under observation, pending a change of use and management.

During 2011/12 the ISC20C has also investigated the case of **Halles Freyscinet** in Paris (CSM); threats by a new commercial development to the **Ljubljana Stadium, Slovenia** designed by J Plečnik (JH) and by proposed alterations to the Arles **Archaeological Museum**, France by Henri Ciriani (1989-93) (CSM).

The house and studio of Konstantin Melnikov in Moscow remains an ongoing matter of concern, with a close watching brief being maintained by the ISC20C, culminating in a full Heritage Alert in early 2013 (Natalia Dushkina) Of undoubted international significance the house and studio are threatened by surrounding development damaging its foundations, and the ongoing absence of any clear solution for its future conservation or management.

ISC20C also reviewed and issued a Heritage Alert prepared by Docomomo Australia for the **Darling Harbour Precinct in Sydney**, Australia (Gunny Harboe). The site is slated for demolition and redevelopment has significant 20th century heritage values. It includes the Sydney Exhibition Centre designed by Philip Cox, and Sydney Convention Centre designed by

John Andrews, two of Australia's most prominent architects of the late 20th Century. Also slated for demolition is the waterfront public park known as Tumbalong Park with its "Urban Stream" and significant other landscaping. <http://icomos-isc20c.org/id3.html>

Investigation for a heritage alert was initiated on a site in Mexico, the ***Asilo Mier y Pesado*** (**Mier y Pesado** Nursing Home) in Orizaba, Veracruz, Mexico.(EA)

Desktop reviews on Modern Heritage to **World Monuments Fund Watch** List 2014. ISC20C reviewed fourteen modern heritage sites worldwide for the WMF in 2013.

World Heritage: The Modern Serial sites seminar series and a range of world heritage work. A major activity of ISC20C is the provision of advice to ICOMOS on World Heritage modern sites. In 2012 This year the ISC20CH has been working on the Le Corbusier nomination, and its subsequent revision. The President participated in several teleconferences with the ICOMOS World Heritage Secretariat on this matter. An ISC20C sub-committee was formed to provide additional advice to ICOMOS regarding the re-development of the nomination for World Heritage listing of the achievements of Le Corbusier.

A series of seminars and workshops has been initiated by ISC20C. The first was held in Paris in 2011 at the Pavillion Suisse, Cité Universitaire in Paris, designed by Le Corbusier, assisted by member Christiane Schmuckle Mollard in December 2011.

ISC20C member Yoshiyuki Yamana organised a serial sites seminar in Tokyo at the National Museum of Western Art, also designed by Le Corbusier in February 2012, with the support of the Japanese Cultural Agency. A draft publication of the meeting was distributed.

A serial sites sub-committee workshop was held in Helsinki at the Annual Meeting in September and a further public seminar is being planned for the Annual Meeting in Chandigarh in 2013.

The ISC20CH has been approached to provide further advice to the ICOMOS World Heritage Panel and WHWorking Group on the Le Corbusier nomination, and its subsequent revision . ISC20C organized meetings in Paris, December 2011 and with the assistance of the NMWA in Tokyo in February 2012. Following my participation in several teleconferences with the ICOMOS World Heritage Secretariat on this matter, I have organized a further discussion session whilst in Helsinki with available members .The issue of serial site nominations for modern places will continue to be a major project for ISC20C.

ISC20C was asked to nominate members for one nomination mission this year to the Netherlands, Van Nellefabriek, and we forwarded several CVs

Membership

Current membership of the ISC20C is: Expert Voting members 38; Expert Members 32; Associate Members 19; Founding Members 22; Institutional members 4; Mailing list 45. This year we welcomed 11 new members from the following countries: China, India, Italy, Jordan, and France. The ISC20C membership policy can be found at <http://icomos-isc20c.org/id4.html>

Website: I prepared a new ISC20C Information Sheet in august 2013 , also to be used as introduction for the website and for distribution in Chandigarh.

Representing ISC20C: I have participated in the following seminars and conferences:

President Sheridan Burke representing ISC20C at Meetings and Conferences:

1. UNESCO World Heritage Convention 40 years On. Tokyo February 2012
2. Serial Sites Workshop NMWA Tokyo February 2012
Representing the heritage of the twentieth century
3. Docomomo Congress Stockholm August 2012
Lake Burley Griffin, Canberra, Australia: conserving the heritage values of a modern urban space in the ideal city of the future?
Preservation in post-industrial cities Kone Urban Futures Discussion Panel
Role of ICOMOS in the World Heritage Convention
4. *Celebrating the Griffins' contribution to Canberra as a modern planned capital city* Marion Mahoney Griffin Annual Lecture August 2012
5. UIA Congress Tokyo September 2012 *Developing Guidelines for conserving the Heritage of the Twentieth Century*
6. World Heritage Convention 40 Years celebration Kyoto, August 2012
7. NSW Heritage Council symposium Learning from International experience October 2012
Tapes to Lingonberries
8. Classroom to Campus conference, Melbourne University November 2012
Australian National University: developing heritage principles and putting them into practice.
9. Australia ICOMOS Celebrating WHC 40 years, November 2012
Is the World heritage Convention Conserving the Twentieth century heritage?
10. Getty Conservation Institute CMAI Experts meeting March 2013
Sydney Opera House Practical Conservation Management tools for daily use.
11. Planning Institute Congress of Australia, Canberra March 2013
Celebrating Canberra Vision Splendid
12. UNESCO Asia Pacific Heritage Awards Jury Bangkok June 2013

Statutes: During the 2011 ISC20C elections, which were run by ICALFI in accordance with ICOMOS process we found that the current wording of the statutes limited the appointments. After extensive discussions with ICLAFI an amendment to the statutes was put to the Bureau and circulated to voting members. Responses were very slow. Meantime, the bureau resolved to expand to ensure regional representation, and welcomed the following co-opted members:

Nune Chilingaryan (Armenia)
Fernando Espinosa de los Monteros (Spain)
Gunny Harboe (USA)
Susan Macdonald (Australia/USA)
Yoshiyuki Yamana (Japan)
Riitta Salastie (Finland)

At the Dubrovnik meeting several suggestions for varying the election methods were discussed, that have been followed up with ICLAFI and will be instituted at the 2014 election.

Finances

ISC20C has received modest income for its specialist advice to the World Monuments Fund. Our activities remain restricted by the absence of Secretariat support. All travel and committee expenses are met by members. ISC20CH operates in English and relies upon our own members to translate our documents into Spanish and French. This is a regrettable reflection of the financial position of the committee. Our website is kindly supported by the Getty Conservation institute.

Website

The ISC20C has revised and updated its website (populating it with papers, minutes and relevant documents and resources. It receives c 450 hits pm on average. A Facebook page has also been established with an average of c 600 hits pm. The Facebook page receives more hits pm when a Heritage Alert has been posted. The ISC20C website has doubled in size since 2011 with twice as many information tabs available online through the website portal. Website: <http://www.icomos-isc20c.org>

3. Actions August 2012- August 2013

Publications

This year the ISC20C published its Madrid Document “Intervention Approaches in the 20th Century Architectural Heritage” in Chinese, launched at an evening seminar which I organised at the Beijing Institute of Technology in association with the Advisory Committee meeting in Beijing.

ISC20C Annual Report for ICOMOS Prepared and delivered to ICOMOS in September by President Sheridan Burke and Secretary General Kyle Normandin.

Cooperation with external agencies

As ISC20C President I am in active contact with our partnership organisations Docomomo, UIA, mAAN and a range of Universities and government affiliated heritage organisations, which are mentioned below.

2012 activities

The ISC20C triennial action plan 2011-2014 was revisited at the annual meeting in Helsinki, with Laura Robinson and I reviewing outcomes of the roles and responsibilities of committee members which were set at the GA in Paris.

The major projects for ISC20C in 2012 were:

The Madrid Document. On-going developments of updates to the Madrid Document are underway following circulation to all NCs and ISCs for comment. Meantime, the MD has now been translated into seven languages. It is being quoted and applied in many projects internationally. The review of the comments received through circulation are being assessed by Fernando E Monteros and Jorg Haspel with Susan Macdonald. Copies are available at <http://icomos-isc20c.org/id13.html>

The reorganization of the **heritage toolkit resource** which is available online through the ISC20C website: <http://www.icomos-isc20c.org/id3.html>. New associate ISC20C member Smriti Pant has actively reviewed and developed this important project for ISC20C. We are getting very positive reports back about the use that is being made of it, especially by students. Australia ICOMOS is now developing a national toolkit and we hope that other national and scientific committees also do so.

The Heritage Applause initiative was proposed by the President as a means to publicly celebrate and promote outstanding private, government and corporate initiatives that support the conservation of Twentieth-Century Heritage places. This is an ISC20C initiative is being developed by Smriti Pant, and it is NOT an ICOMOS-wide prize proposal. It may well be that as ISC20C pilots the idea, and ICOMOS picks it up more widely, but not yet.

World Heritage advice.

Thematic framework for C20. Work continues on the development of the Twentieth Century historic thematic framework, led by Susan Macdonald and is currently seeking possible funding to move forward with this as a possible initiative in the near future. A major project for the ISC20C is to implement the development of an Historic Thematic framework of the twentieth century, that articulates the historic themes of the Twentieth century, examining the fundamental social changes and built outcomes of the century, with the aim of providing a consistent context for national, local and world heritage comparative assessment and analysis, which will assist in identification and management. A report has been disseminated on the results of this meeting which are currently linked to the ISC20C website from the Getty Conservation Institute's (GCIs) Conserving Modern Architecture Initiative (CMAI).

Montreal Action Plan 20 Stage 2 survey uploads and update. In 2003 the Montreal Action Plan 20 meeting initiated a survey to establish how Twentieth Century heritage was being managed and recognized, via a survey of all ICOMOS NCs. I am particularly keen to upload the data, and to invite NCs to give us a snapshot of what's happened over the last decade. Sandra Uskovicic will lead a subcommittee including Gunny Harboe, Dinu Bumbaru and the president.

- 4. Support New ISC on Astronomical sites** As one of the newest ISCs, and one with a particular interest in the subject matter, ISC20C has been asked to provide assistance to the formation of a new ISC on astronomical archaeology sites. The president is liaising with the emerging ISC

ISC20C entry for Critical Encyclopedia for Restoration and reuse of XXth Century Architecture

In 2012/3 I prepared an essay entry about the creation and work of the ISC20C for this publication. The project involves the Accademia di architettura in Mendrisio (Università della Svizzera Italiana) and both the Lausanne and Zurich polytechnic institutes. The research aims to collect and critically present the knowledge produced since the Nineties on Twentieth Century heritage as object of guardianship. The Encyclopaedia will be articulated around entries and case studies, illustrating exemplary interventions on recent heritage.

Communications: Numerous letters, references, advices (with Kyle Normandin)

5. What has not advanced and needs support, please

Heritage Toolkit initiative, needs members individual inputs- so far only a few have contributed. This is easy!

Finances. I enjoy the benefit of my office as a sponsor of ISC20C, but further support would assist the operation of the secretariat.

Expansion of membership amongst **young professionals** via mentoring and meaningful participation in its projects and conferences, to secure our committees sustainability. I'd like every member to introduce at least one younger member each year as a target, more if possible. Our annual membership invite letters have been delayed due to pressure on our secretariat.

I am very disappointed and increasingly concerned that we have not met our very public commitment to ICOMOS and our members to utilize the comments made during the public circulation of the **MD to upgrade** it to its next iteration.

Sharing the Load: Every Bureau member needs to support an ISC20C project. Too much is falling to too few presently.

6. Over 2011-2014, this position will be actively contributing to the triennial work plan by.....

Working closely with the expanded bureau, supporting and encouraging all bureau members to take on a Triennial Work Programme project leadership role such as further developing the toolkit, ongoing Heritage Alerts, broadening the Madrid document, world heritage work, regionally developing and representing ISC20C.

Continuing the tradition of offering a public forum / workshop with each ISC20C meeting as a means of spreading information and attracting new members.

Future Activities

The ISC20C annual membership invitation will support the growth and development of the committee.

Membership world heritage advice to ICOMOS and specifically modern serial site nominations for modern heritage will remain a major initiative for ISC20C

The implementation of the heritage applause concept will be debated at the annual meeting in Chandigarh next month.

Heritage alerts will be an ongoing responsibility, both existing and future cases.

The development of MAP20 stage 2 will involve all national and scientific committees.

The heritage toolkit will continue to grow, and we will continue to support the national toolkit development by Australia ICOMOS.

Subject to funding support we anticipate that the next stage of the thematic framework will be initiated in 2014

The new initiative conserving the Socialist Heritage of the post war world developed at the Dubrovnik isc20c meeting will continue to evolve.

Making membership of the ISC20C professionally and personally rewarding and fun!

I am always happy to receive comments and suggestions

Acknowledgements

The commitment of working as president of any ICOMOS ISC is substantial. The support of my partners at GML to undertake this role is gratefully acknowledged. The nomination of Australia ICOMOS as the voting member of ISC20C is appreciated. Without the support of my family this level of voluntary work would not be possible. My sincere thanks to all.

Managing a committee of busy professionals al over the world is a wonderful challenge. Without the hard work of Kyle Normandin Secretary General, it would be impossible. Thanks to all the bureau and especially gunny harboe for engaging the work of the advocacy subcommittee so actively.

Sheridan Burke, President, August 2013

Secretary General Report
By Kyle Normandin
Chandigarh, India

Secretary General report - ISC20C Meeting, Tuesday, 1 October 2013 – Chandigarh, India

1. Objectives

The goals and responsibilities are to distribute and circulate minutes of the meetings, pursue and work with appointed bureau members to oversee the work and implement the work program of the committee. The SG is to ensure collection of candidates and nominations from the membership subcommittee during elections and to maintain report documentation to support the work of the ISC20C Bureau and the committee. The SG will help organize and co-ordinate the activities of the ISC20C as part of the bureau and work with ISC20C members and partners to implement the triennial work program. Over the past few years, the SG has also simultaneously assumed the role of webmaster for maintenance of the ISC20C website and database.

2. Accomplishments

The role of the secretary general is a fundamental supportive role to the President, the Bureau and to the members and activities of the committee, including:

Meetings – Helsinki, Finland Annual Meeting, August 2012: A business meeting for ISC20C was organized and carried out in Helsinki, Finland in August, in conjunction with the Docomomo International Conference 2012 in Helsinki. Members emailed a short report of their activities for 2011-2012 for inclusion in the meeting agenda and minutes, which has been uploaded to the website on November 1, 2012.

Website: The website has been updated and expanded to include new Heritage Alerts for the Melnikov House in Moscow, Russia; the Novaritis Complex in Rueil-Malmaison, France; and the most recent HA for Darling Harbour, Sydney, Australia. Heritage Alerts, Membership, the Madrid Document and translations, and 2012 Meetings are currently on separate tab listings. The Heritage Alert Toolkit has recently been updated and is now currently available online. Event listings and minutes have been updated and posted on the website, including downloadable links to related documents. Additional types of information and further development of the web site with graphics including a Facebook page are also linked. Through the Facebook link, additional joint group meeting collaborations, additional web links and information postings are linked to ISCARSAH, ICORP and other partner organizations like the UIA and DOCOMOMO.

It is noted that additional space is currently set aside by the network to post larger project initiatives such as the **MAP20 project**. Please refer to MAP20 report for schedule and agenda for this project and next steps.

WMF Watch List: The SG coordinated desktop reviews with committee members on Modern Heritage to **World Monuments Fund Watch List 2014**. ISC20C reviewed twenty-one modern heritage sites worldwide for the WMF in 2013. The ISC20C coordinated directly with WMF for completion of the desktop reviews however, it was made known that the scientific council is now facilitating the desktop reviews with all of the ICOMOS ISCs for WMF. In the future, this is meant to help organize the process more succinctly. Given the tight timeframe for review of the applications, the SG strongly recommends that the ISC20C have as much time as possible to carry out the desktop reviews and allow us between 6-8 weeks to allow time for a carry out the review process.

Bureau and Statute Amendment: As reflected in the meeting minutes from Helsinki in August 2012, the voting members agreed to the Bureau's co-option of seven interim bureau representatives in the interim period (until 2014). The proposed and approved representatives for co-option are as follows: Fernando Espinosa de los Monteros (Spain); Gunny Harboe (USA); Susan Macdonald (Australia/USA); Yoshiyuki Yamana (Japan); Nune Chanlingayan (Armenia); and Riitta Salastie (Finland).

All members present in Helsinki discussed the revised wording in the proposed amendment (attached) and agreed that it should be circulated to ALL National Committee designated expert voting members. The SG followed through with the action to organize a vote on the proposed amendment by electronic ballot in April 2013. The proposed amendment was circulated electronically with a call for a vote by May 1, 2013, which included a minimum two-week period for casting votes. At the close of polls, the amendment was passed with the majority of votes received to pass the amendment.

Membership: The current ISC20C membership policy is posted on the website and can be accessed under the current membership tab. The types of membership are sourced in the ICSC20C statutes. It is recommended that in the policy, each class of membership have a specific activity requirement to ensure the committee stays active (e.g. expert members should have involvement in policy development, input to annual reports, and relate to their national ICOMOS committees).

The SG greatly acknowledges the assistance of Ritta Salastie (RS) who is now in charge of maintaining and the updating of the membership files and NC nomination letters. The SG reports that there three new Voting expert members, six new expert members, and twenty three new associate members have joined the committee. As of the date of this report, we currently have a total of 41 Voting Expert members; 33 Expert members; 23 Associate members. All potential new candidate committee members will be forwarded to and evaluated by RS who when then forward the results to the Secretary General for inclusion in the membership database.

Social Networking: In an effort to increase greater social contact between committee members, outside partner organizations and groups, a social networking site was setup to assist the ISC20C. Social networking tools like 'Facebook' are being utilized by many ISCs and NCS to create awareness and nation-wide collaboration. It has been recommended by ICOMOS that the ISC20C consider a Twitter page to engage younger practitioners and to connect with other organizations working on the protection of twentieth Century heritage internationally. Christine Madrid French, an expert member of the committee, has volunteered to assist with setting up of an ISC20C Twitter page which can be linked to both the ISC20C website and the Facebook page.

The social networking tool is growing rapidly and allows its member users, in particular younger professionals, to connect and share information on a variety of related subjects and topics rapidly and easily. A Facebook page is now setup and is linked to the website. A Facebook page has also been established with an average of c 600 hits pm. The Facebook page is an open group and receives more 'likes' when a Heritage Alert has been posted. The ISC20C website has doubled in size over the past year with twice as many information tabs available online through the website portal. Website: <http://www.icomos-isc20c.org> The ISC20C Facebook page is also linked to the ICOMOS Open Facebook page with approximately over 3,000 followers currently.

Young Professionals and Students: Current engagement of young professionals and students is an ongoing work of the committee. The SG notes that the next step using the Facebook page is to contact academic institutions with announcements through Facebook. The ongoing discussion to include efforts to engage young professionals under 25 years old and universities could be drawn easily through social media networking sites and as future Associate members for the ISC20C committee. There is certainly a dynamic energy at many universities as seen during the CATH20 conference in June in which the committee could engage our initiatives.

ICOMOS database: The Secretary General is expected to continue to encourage expert members to remember to fill in and list areas of expertise into the membership database. A link to the Giles Nourissier database will be available on the ICOMOS website. It is important to note that each committee member must have current membership updated with the Secretariat in order to access the Giles Nourissier database. The SG and VP Riitta Salastic will continue to encourage ISC20C expert members to access the database and to encourage all members to have their member file up to date by the next AGM in Florence 2014.

3. Actions proposed for 2013 – 2014

Implement the 2011-2014 initial triennial action plan.

Encourage more membership through the NCs and ISC committees.

Encourage more membership with younger professionals and Universities, a process that can be carried out through the social networking initiative which has just been started in September.

Work with local organizing committee through Giacinta Jean to setup the next annual meeting in Florence, Italy in November 2014.

4. Future Goals and Activities for the ISC20C Triennial Work Programme 2011-2014

There have been a number of Heritage Alerts which have been posted and which are currently in progress which will need to be uploaded to the website. Over the last few months, the Heritage Alert for the Novartis complex and Darling Harbour were uploaded and there will be a series more over the course of 2013-2014.

Work on expansion and proposal for adaption of indexing system to build the Heritage Toolkit which is currently being adopted as an initiative by ICOMOS-wide.

Work to update the Gilles Nourissier database with the ICOMOS Secretariat will continue. The Secretariat volunteers who are knowledgeable in the website database design have volunteered to assist with this effort over the course of the next year through the next AGM in Florence. Once this database is implemented, it will be connected to the current website for use by ICOMOS committee members. These proposals would aim to create enhanced interconnectivity with the new ICOMOS website which is more dynamic and wide reaching including separate interface tabs for access to the Gilles Nourissier database and also, useful tools like social networking sites.

Kyle Normandin, Secretary General ISC20C Email: knormandin@getty.edu

AMENDMENT TO ICOMOS ISC20C STATUTES 2013

Notice is hereby given to the National Committee designated expert voting members of the ICOMOS ISC20C that it is proposed to amend the statutes of the committee to expand the Bureau of the ISC20C to achieve wider regional representation. To achieve the Triennial Work Plan we need more Bureau members to take responsibility for committee projects; to bring regional perspectives and issues to the committee and to be active in their respective regions. An amendment to the statutes would delete the existing wording and add the words underlined below to section 4.3 of the existing ISC20C statutes:

DELETE THE EXISTING STATUTE WORDING

Section 4.3 Bureau: The Bureau shall consist of members elected from the expert members of the Committee. There shall be a President, a Secretary General, a Treasurer and one Vice President, all from different countries. There shall be additional Vice Presidents providing regional representation. All Bureau members shall serve a term of three years, and may serve a maximum of three consecutive terms in each office, but in no case will serve more than fifteen years. Time spent serving on the Interim Management Committee (2006-08) will not be counted towards term limits

REPLACE WITH AMENDMENT WORDING April - May 2013

Section 4.3 Bureau: The Bureau shall consist of members elected from the expert members of the Committee. There shall be a President, a Secretary General, a Treasurer and four Vice Presidents all from different countries. The Bureau has the option to co-opt up to 2 or 4 additional Vice Presidents to assist in achieving regional representation and fulfilling the Committee's Triennial Action Plan. The regions reflect the 5 UNESCO regional groups as follows: Africa, Asia and the Pacific, Europe and North America, Latin America and the Caribbean, the Arab States. All Bureau members shall serve a term of three years and may serve a maximum of three consecutive terms in each office, but in no case will serve more that fifteen years on the Bureau. Time spent on the Interim management Committee (2006-08) will not count towards term limits. Each Vice President will be required to lead and co-ordinate a major project identified from the Committee's Triennial Action Plan during their term in office.

ISC20C Heritage Alert Report
By Gunny Harboe
Chandigarh, India

ISC20C Annual Meeting Report – October 1, 2013 – Chandigarh, India

ISC20 Advocacy Sub-Committee

1) **Brief Summary of project or position objectives:**

The Advocacy Committee of the ISC20C is concerned with monitoring 20th Century Heritage sites that are at risk across the globe. The Committee regularly receives requests from affinity groups and the public for letters supporting efforts to conserve or protect 20th Century Heritage properties which are under threat. To ensure the reputation and international credibility of the ISC20C Heritage Alert response, a formal process has been established that will confirm that the heritage significance and threat is well understood before an ISC20C Heritage Alert is issued. A template outlining the information needed to prepare a Heritage Alert is available for download on the ISC20C website.

The ICOMOS ISC20C Heritage Alert project aims to:

- Use the expertise of the members of the ISC20C committee and relevant national ICOMOS committee to assess the significance of and threats to a property indicated to be at risk;
- Confirm the facts of the threat and the heritage significance of the property;
- Alert the public to the significance and threat to the property at risk using ICOMOS networks to publicize the situation;
- Selectively act to support the conservation of the property at risk;
- Maintain a list of properties at risk and follow the results of any conservation action for future analysis and
- Provide input to the ICOMOS Heritage at Risk Report.

The ICOMOS Heritage Alert system will be compatible with similar programs currently promoted by other conservation non-profit organizations and NGOs. The opportunity to disseminate information through the networks of partner organizations such as UAI, DOCOMOMO and TICCIH gives further scope for publicity, and adds mutual responsibility for the accuracy of the Heritage Alert assessment.

2) **Accomplishments:**

The Advocacy Committee has been extremely active in 2012 and 2013. The effort to address a large number of requests to issue Heritage Alerts (HA) has been very time consuming and has had its challenges. Working in multiple places across the globe, the committee continues to find it difficult to issue any given HA in a timely fashion. This can be a frustrating experience for all involved as the threat to the resource is often severe and imminent. It is often difficult and sometime impossible to get responses or agreement from the National Committee in the country where the threatened site is located. There are also often language issues that make the editing and refinement of the HA a time consuming challenge for the committee. As

part of its work plan for the coming year, the Advocacy Committee will try to find ways to refine and improve the HA process so that more can be accomplished in shorter timeframes. Despite these challenges, the work of the committee has been significant. For a number of reasons not every call for a Heritage Alert has resulted in one being issued. Nevertheless a tremendous amount of work has gone into each effort.

Specifically the sites where a HA has been worked on included:

1. Central Government Offices, West Wing, Hong Kong, China (issued June 2012)
2. Melnikov House, Moscow, Russia (issued April 2013)
3. Halle Freyssinet, Paris, France (issued July 2013)
4. Kyoto Kaikan, Kyoto, Japan (not issued)
5. Darling Harbour, Sydney Australia (issued July 2013)
6. Novarits, Rueil-Malmaison, France (issued July 2013)
7. Ljubljana Stadium, Ljubljana, Slovenia (not issued)
8. Mier Y Pesado, Orizaba, Veracruz, Mexico (not yet issued but anticipated it will be soon)
9. Prentice Women's Hospital, Chicago, Illinois, USA (not issued)

3) Actions (proposed for 2014):

The Advocacy Committee needs more members to help with the work load that can be expected to be forthcoming. In particular it needs a diverse group of languages represented so that HA that are generated in countries where English is not readily used the texts can be properly translated and retain the meaning.

It is also recommended that the Heritage Alert Template be assessed and edited based on previous experiences.

Submitted by:
Gunny Harboe, FAIA
Vice President for Advocacy

ISC20C Heritage Applause Report
By Sheridan Burke and Smirti Pant
Chandigarh, India

ISC20C Annual Meeting Report – October 1, 2013 – Chandigarh, India

TOPIC 9.4: HERITAGE APPLAUSE

1. Brief Summary of project or position objectives

Heritage Applause initiative was proposed by the President as a means to publicly celebrate and promote outstanding private, government and corporate initiatives that support the conservation of Twentieth-Century Heritage places (see attachments – Heritage Applause Concept and Template).

Note that this was proposed as an ISC20C initiative NOT an ICOMOS-wide prize proposal. It may well be that as we pilot the idea, ICOMOS picks it up more widely, but not yet.

The idea was discussed at the Helsinki annual meeting in 2012 and has been circulated for comment. Comments below need more discussion.

2. Comments

Do we want an annual call for nominations (FEM) or accept nominations throughout the year?

Integrate deadlines completion of individual steps proposed under the Heritage Applause Process – e.g. Step 1: 31st October of each year, Step 2: 31st December of the year of submission of proposal, Step 3: before 31st March of the following year, Step 4: 31st May, Step 5: before 15th June, etc.? (FEM)

Do we want to limit it to physical projects or also award personal conservation efforts such as the Melnikov Family, or the process of restoring the Vipuri library? (RS)

E.g. A criteria for persistence and courage in a long-term attempt to save the outstanding testimony of the 20thC heritage (JH)

Need to lighten up the template, simplify it. Need visual images of HA award for web publication + on official ICOMOS paper? Small memorable medal or small sculptural sign/figure (ND)

Need to involve mass media, wide announcement (ND)

Use the Madrid Document and compliance with it as a criterion. This may mean other criteria can come out. I know this was about physical projects but I wondered if it should also support other initiatives – i.e. really good listing programs – e.g. English Heritage with the next round of post-war listings under their themes – brilliant. And they are having an exhibit about listing post war in Sept – would be great to see other strategic initiatives like

this recognised and shared. Really good publications could be recommended too. That way it expand the scope beyond the WMF award too and rewards all sorts of activities that together go to conserving 20C heritage. We could I guess have categories – i.e. publication, activities to do with identification and then physical projects. If this makes it too complicated we could start with just projects and expand later.

I am less sure about including new work – i.e. additions to existing buildings – or if we include this make it its own category. I agree that sustaining buildings often requires this and it is often part of an adaptive reuse. Perhaps it all the same thing – just opening up the question. (SM)

MD document as a source of reference the projects need to be compliant with – **is extremely important and essential point that we as a committee of 20CA should ourselves PROMOTE.** I support other initiatives that just physical conservation projects: texts, listing programmes; unconventional initiatives and ideas outside the conservation community, even TV programmes. (RS)

I do not think it is especially interesting to give a applause to a well made physical conservation project as such (as the Jyväskylä Sports University project we saw last summer; the result might be fine but there should be some SPECIAL, more universal or general point WHY we raise this project for instance; in this case maybe the activity of the Aalto Foundation as a co-fighter for the good conservation of Aalto legacy etc. would be such point I am also cautious about designer or architect oriented approach alone. For the same reason I am also - like Susan – less sure about including new work – i.e. additions to existing buildings – or if we include this make it its own category. Different buildings also need different approaches – some buildings are MORE SENSITIVE to changes than others (Mies van der Rohes' New Museum in Berlin). (RS)

We need to avoid conflict with ICOOMOS prizes and Knoll – this is just ISC20C applauding a good C20 Conservation action – reflecting benchmark practice like the MD for example. I think we should be open all year but award them at our annual Meeting (SB)

3. Actions (proposed for 2014)

- a) Discuss comments received thus far and proposed changes to the concept document and Template at Chandigarh
- b) Form a Heritage Applause sub-committee to amend template and propose timeline. The Sub-Committee should include English, French and Spanish speaking members.
- c) Pilot use of HA template to see how it works before we launch

4. Future Goals and Activities for the ISC20C Triennial Work Programme 2013-2016

Add Heritage Applause development to Triennial work Plan

5. ISC20C Committee Member comments on report /recommendations

Sheridan Burke (President, Australia) and Smriti Pant (Associate Member, UK/India)
9 September 2013

HERITAGE APPLAUSE!

ICOMOS International Scientific Committee on 20th-Century Heritage

An ICOMOS ISC20C Heritage Applause accolade publicly celebrates and promotes outstanding private, government and corporate initiatives that support the conservation of Twentieth Century Heritage places.

Background

The ICOMOS International Scientific Committee on Twentieth-Century Heritage (ISC20C) would like to formally recognize and share internationally private, government or corporate initiatives that conserve Twentieth-Century heritage properties – ranging from physical works to publications; from personal endeavours to new conservation methods; from private efforts to public agencies.

The Heritage Applause process aims to spread publicity about good examples of projects or initiatives achieving excellent conservation outcomes for Twentieth-Century Heritage places. They might be physical projects or personal initiatives.

Criteria

Heritage Applause will recognise:

- Application of the Madrid Document to guide the project
- Demonstrated excellence in understanding the significance of the property or issue;
- Conservation initiatives that interpret and promote the property's cultural, social, historical and architectural significance;
- Appropriate use or adaptation to ensure the long term maintenance of the property;
- Understanding of and solutions or research for the technical issues of conservation/restoration of Twentieth Century places;
- Use and quality control of appropriate building, artisan and conservation techniques, relevance of international Charters and guidelines;
- Overall complexity, sensitivity and technical consistency of the project;
- On-going socio-economic viability and relevance of the initiative;
- Contribution to the surrounding environment and the local community's appreciation of Twentieth Century heritage
- Influence of the project on conservation practice and policy locally, nationally, regionally or internationally

Assessment Process

The *Heritage Applause* process will use the ISC20C's professional and public networks to assess nominations for a *Heritage Applause* with ethical rigor and accuracy, using the expertise of the members of the ISC20C Committee and relevant national or scientific ICOMOS committee(s).

The ISC20C Heritage Applause sub-committee will

1. **Identify and assess nominated successful initiatives and projects** that support conservation of Twentieth-Century heritage places
2. **Review and define** the achievements and outcomes of the nominated initiative on the heritage significance of the property
3. Recommend and define the Heritage Applause citation and appropriate means to **celebrate and promote** it to the public;

ISC20C Heritage Applause citations will be permanently recorded on the ISC20C website and promoted by ICOMOS at national and international level.

Heritage Applause is given in form of presentation of an annual paper-based award and providing a platform for publicity of the initiative/project.

ISC20C Heritage Advocacy Sub-Committee

A small Advocacy Sub-Committee of 5 expert members has been established within the ISC20C to handle requests for *Heritage Alerts* and proposals for *Heritage Applause* related action. The Sub-Committee is formed on a triennial and rotational basis, with renewable membership. It consists of two expert committee members, the ISC20C Secretary General, the ISC20C president (or nominee) and includes an ICOMOS member who has been involved in a recent Heritage Alert or Heritage Applause action.

Heritage Applause Process

Heritage Applause is a 7-step process which includes broad consultation by the ISC20C Advocacy Sub-Committee.

1. Proponent(s) fill(s) in the **Heritage Applause Template** and submit(s) it to ISC20C secretary General.
2. Advocacy Sub-Committee tests the provided information via enquiries through its own networks and the relevant ICOMOS Scientific and National Committee(s).
3. The completed template is distributed to all Sub-Committee members for an **initial assessment** of the achievements of the initiative(s) or project(s), reviewing and defining its/(their) outcomes and achievements, to prepare a first evaluation and select – in case multiple proposals have been submitted – one considered most worthy of receiving a Heritage Applause.
4. The Advocacy Sub-Committee chair forwards the (selected) *Heritage Applause* template to the proposer and immediately **invites comment** and advice from the relevant national and scientific committees of ICOMOS. The ICOMOS Secretary General is advised of the issuing of a *Heritage Applause* template. Affiliated organizations such as DOCOMOMO, TICCIH or UIA may also be advised and invited to comment.
5. The Advocacy Sub-Committee Chair finalises the template and associated documentation from the proposer. Additional or comparative material may be requested. The Sub-Committee will aim to assess the information and recommend action to the ISC20C president whose decision is final. ISC20C Action: The ISC20C Secretary General will prepare the necessary publicity material, ISC20C letters and media briefing material, in consultation with the ICOMOS National Committee and ISC20C President. ISC20C Secretary General will prepare all documents for dissemination.
6. The ICOMOS Secretariat in Paris will facilitate the *Heritage Applause* being electronically forwarded to all ICOMOS members. The ICOMOS Secretary General and relevant ICOMOS National committee will be consulted regarding the proposed promotion and celebration actions by the ISC20C President. An ISC20C press release is issued
7. Website Action: The ISC20C Heritage Applause template and associated documentation will be uploaded on the ISC20C Website by the ISC20C Secretary General.

Sheridan Burke (President, Australia) and Smriti Pant (Associate Member, UK/India)

9 September 2013

ISC20C Heritage Applause

ICOMOS International Scientific Committee on 20th-Century Heritage

An ICOMOS ISC20C heritage applause publicly celebrates and promotes outstanding private, government and corporate initiatives that support the conservation of twentieth century heritage places. For additional information and to facilitate assessment, please provide the following information to isc20c@icomos.org

- 0.0 **Executive summary***- 2-4 paragraphs outlining heritage significance of the property and the initiative or project that is recommended for a heritage applause (suitable for media statement)
- 1.0 **Identity of building/artifact/object/place/project/initiative***
 - 1.1 Current name and original name.
 - 1.2 Location, street, town, country
 - 1.3 Classification/ type of place
 - 1.4 Current heritage protection status
 - 1.5 Original and current drawings and photographs from the site
 - 1.6 Description of the project
- 2.0 **Statement of significance and history**
 - 2.1 Statement of significance*
 - 2.2 History of place*
 - 2.3 Date of project/ date of construction/ finishing of work (of original and later/future interventions)
 - 2.4 Architect/ designers (of original and later/future interventions)
 - 2.5 Architect designers still living? Residence, country of birth, contact
 - 2.6 Original and current use of building/place
 - 2.7 Changes, additions (description, documentation, architect/designer, dates, ...)
 - 2.8 Current Condition
 - 2.9 Original Design/Project Intent
- 3.0 **What's worth applauding?***
 - 3.1 Describe the conservation initiatives/project/works
 - 3.2 Who was responsible? (Please provide full contact information phone and email)

- 3.3 Why is the project/initiative worthy of an international heritage applause?
- 3.4 Has the project been recognized in media or through awards (please attach information)?
- 3.5 What will be gained in terms of heritage conservation or by a heritage applause?

4.0 Description of Property (history and technology)

- 4.1 Physical description
- 4.2 Construction system used
- 4.3 Context/setting
- 4.4 Social value and cultural context and significance
- 4.5 Materials/fabric/form/function
- 4.6 Aesthetic value

5.0 Source of Applause Proposal

- 5.1 References, email, contact information*
- 5.2 Groups supporting Applause and/or nomination, contacts
- 5.3 Local, Regional, International significance citations*
- 5.4 Letters of support, newspaper articles, etc.
- 5.5 Publications that describe the work/place, bibliography, etc.

6.0 Recommended action*

- 6.1 Are there any time aspects about issuing an heritage applause?
- 6.2 Scope of international/national distribution?
- 6.3 Letter (s) to..... (Please provide full contact information phone and email)
- 6.4 ISC20C Website upload
- 6.5 Affiliated distribution e.g. UIA/Docomomo/TICCIH websites
- 6.6 Other actions recommended

** Asterisk indicates essential information requirement*

ISC20C Toolkit Report
By Sheridan Burke and Smirti Pant
Chandigarh, India

ISC20C Annual Meeting Report – October 1, 2013 – Chandigarh, India

TOPIC 9.5: HERITAGE TOOLKIT

1. Brief Summary of project or position objectives

Heritage Toolkit is a multi-lingual, on-line reference collection of benchmark “best practice” documents which aims to share new methodologies and approaches to conservation practice, by providing access to information and reference sources that the ISC20C members find useful.

2. Accomplishments

The following steps were undertaken to revive the Heritage Toolkit, accessible online at <http://www.icomos-isc20c.org/id5.html>, from March-May 2013:

- a) Restructuring the Toolkit’s table of contents;
- b) Fixing/updating existing broken links;
- c) Inviting ISC20C members to contribute a maximum of 10 recommendations towards the expansion of the Toolkit in all languages; &
- d) Addition of new reference sources recommended by ISC20C members.

3. Actions (proposed for 2014)

- a) Encourage ISC20C members to keep contributing to the Heritage Toolkit at regular intervals in order to ensure that the Toolkit is constantly evolving and does not lose its usefulness.
- b) Engage other National Committees (NCs) and ISCs in developing the ICOMOS Toolkit concept along similar lines.
- c) Nominate a Spanish speaking and French Speaking VP to encourage inputs in those languages to the toolkit
- d) Follow up with Documentation Centre regarding international toolkit development

Sheridan Burke (President, Australia) and Smriti Pant (Associate Member, UK/India)
9 September 2013

MAP20 Report
By Sheridan Burke and Sandra Uskokovic
Chandigarh, India

MAP20 Report and Agenda AGM ISC20C August 2013 Chandigarh, India

MAP20 2013-2014 UPDATE PROPOSAL(V2)

1. The updating of the MAP20 project has been discussed at the Paris and Helsinki meetings of ISC20C. The objective here is to ensure that the remarkable MAP20 data assembled in 2003-5 isn't lost. Right now there is very little formal record of the outcomes, none of the survey material is accessible, so we want to revisit the exercise and get an update on progress since, as well as getting permission to upload the original data. Just as the original MAP20 survey provoked action and engagement from National and scientific committees, so too will the update.
2. The almost decade gap will prove an interesting reflection, and we can also encourage committees to look towards active conservation support for the sites. Some of the original responses demonstrated that what ISC20C wanted to achieve- cross fertilisation amongst disciplines and broad thinking beyond architectural styles and precepts. Uploading that sort of data will stimulate others to think along these lines. But right now, all that data is just on discs in drawers.
3. We could organise a discussion/workshop session at the GA in Florence , using the MAP20 update as a pathway to a serious discussion/analysis. It would be great to do the next stage in French and Spanish as well. Volunteers needed!

SEPTEMBER 2013

4. ISC20C subcommittee for MAP20 V2 2013-14 formed SU GH DB SB LM, led by Sandra Uskokovic
5. Sandra will update the MAP20 V1 questionnaire (to become V2 ICOMOS MAP20 Survey 2013) and draft a letter from President to NC's and ISCs who replied before seeking --
-permission to upload including photos
-updates of earlier survey
-what progress toward protecting modern heritage sites at local or national levels
and one to those who didn't (inviting them to do so now).Sheridan to sign off
6. Kyle to advise via Gaia updated email addresses

7. Sheridan Burke will advise US/ICOMOS as a matter of courtesy that we are updating and uploading MAP20 (V1) and extending survey
8. Find an associate member to assist project (Laura Maltese, new Australian associate member?)
9. Sandra - make accessible the V1 NC info we will need to send to the NCs who did reply originally
10. Sandra – investigate publishing of MAP20 as well as uploading to ISc20C website)
Getty? ICOMOS International? Routledge?)
11. MAP20 publication would benefit from short supporting essays, certainly a foreword from members of MAP- Sandra –O.K.; Dinu? Gunny? Sheri OK

NOVEMBER 2013

11. Laura follows up NCs and ISCs for replies
12. As we receive all data for MAP20 (V1) we upload when convenient to webmaster
13. Sandra edits Publication essays

FEB/APRIL 2014

15. SU report to ISc20C on progress, proposed finalisation

NOVEMBER 2014

16. ISc20C AGM report/presentation/publication launch (Florence, 2014)

Report by:

Sheridan Burke and Sandra Uskokovic

September 2013